MGN

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

REVIEW PETITION NO.36 OF 2006
IN
APPEAL NO.451 OF 2005
IN'
COMPANY PETITION NO.650 OF 2004

Quadricon Pvt. Ltd. ..Petitioners

Vs.

Sugam Constructions Pvt. Ltd.....Respondent

Mr. Z. Andhyarjina with Mr. Hitesh S. Jain i/b. Thakordas & Madgavkar,for the Petitioners.
Mr. K.P. Jain with Mr. P.V. Shah for the Respondent.

CORAM: F.I. REBELLO &
J.P.DEVADHAR,JJ.
DATED: 14th September,2007

P.C.:

. The principal contention as has been urged now is that in the statutory notice served on the company a demand was made in respect of amounts which could not have been claimed under the Award. Such a contention was not raised in reply to the company petition or before this Court in the Appeal.

2. Considering the above in our opinion it is not possible to countenance such an argument in Review.

3. The impugned order, therefore, does not

suffer from any error apparent on the face of the record. Consequently Application for review dismissed.

(J.P. DEVADHAR, J.) **(F.I.REBELLO, J.)**